# Interval-Division Numeral Systems (Supplement)

JM

## Abstract

A companion to Interval-Division Numeral Systems. A working out of all remarks, corollaries, and exercises for the reader.

(If you notice any errors, please let me know. Thanks.)

## Remark 1.1

$$\phi(\{a_n\}) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \phi_k(\{a_n\}) \tag{1}$$

for every  $\{a_n\} \in \mathcal{A}$ .

#### **Proof**

$$\phi(\{a_n\}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{\prod_{m=1}^n b_m} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{a_n}{\prod_{m=1}^n b_m} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \phi_k(\{a_n\})$$

## Remark 1.2

$$\phi_{k+1}(\{a_n\}) \ge \phi_k(\{a_n\}) \tag{2}$$

for every  $\{a_n\} \in \mathcal{A}$  and every  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

### Proof

$$\phi_{k+1}(\{a_n\}) = \sum_{n=1}^{k+1} \frac{a_n}{\prod_{m=1}^n b_m}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{a_n}{\prod_{m=1}^n b_m} + \frac{a_k}{\prod_{m=1}^k b_m}$$

$$= \phi_k(\{a_n\}) + \frac{a_k}{\prod_{m=1}^k b_m}.$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{a_k}{\prod_{m=1}^k b_m} &\geq 0, \\ \phi_k(\{a_n\}) + \frac{a_k}{\prod_{m=1}^k b_m} &\geq \phi_k(\{a_n\}), \\ \phi_{k+1}(\{a_n\}) &\geq \phi_k(\{a_n\}). \end{split}$$

## Corollary 1.4

It follows from Lemma 1.3 that

$$\phi_k(\{a_n\}) < 1 \tag{3}$$

for every  $\{a_n\} \in \mathcal{A}$  and every  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

#### Proof

```
Let \{a_n\} \in \mathcal{A} and k \in \mathbb{N}_0

Suppose k = 0.

Then \phi_k(\{a_n\}) = 0 < 1.

Suppose k > 0.

Then by Lemma 1.3, \phi_k(\{a_n\}) < \phi_0(\{a_n\}) + \frac{1}{\prod_{m=1}^0 b_m} = 0 + 1 = 1.

So \phi_k(\{a_n\}) < 1 for every \{a_n\} \in \mathcal{A} and every k \in \mathbb{N}_0.
```

## Remark 1.5

$$\phi_k(\{a_n\}) \ge 0 \tag{4}$$

for every  $\{a_n\} \in \mathcal{A}$  and every  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

#### Proof

By definition,  $a_i \geq 0$  and  $b_i > 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . So  $\prod_{m=1}^n b_m > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So  $\frac{a_n}{\prod_{m=1}^n b_m} \geq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So  $\sum_{n=1}^k \frac{a_n}{\prod_{m=1}^n b_m} \geq 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Or  $\phi_k(\{a_n\}) \geq 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose k = 0. Then  $\phi_k(\{a_n\}) \geq 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

### Remark 2.1

 $\{a_n\}$  is terminating if and only if there exists some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\phi(\{a_n\}) = \phi_k(\{a_n\})$ .

#### **Proof**

Let 
$$\{a_n\} \in \mathcal{A}$$
,  $\{b_n\} = \beta(\{a_n\})$ .  
Suppose  $\{a_n\}$  is terminating.

Then there exists some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a_n = 0$  for every n > k. So

$$\phi(\{a_n\}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{\prod_{m=1}^n b_m}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{a_n}{\prod_{m=1}^n b_m} + \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{0}{\prod_{m=1}^n b_m}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{a_n}{\prod_{m=1}^n b_m}$$

$$= \phi_k(\{a_n\}).$$

Suppose  $\{a_n\}$  is non-terminating.

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since  $\{a_n\}$  is non-terminating, there exists some k' > k such that  $a_{k'} \neq 0$ . So  $\frac{a_{k'}}{\prod_{m=1}^{k'} b_m} > 0$ . Therefore,

$$\phi_k(\{a_n\}) = \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{a_n}{\prod_{m=1}^n b_m}$$

$$< \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{a_n}{\prod_{m=1}^n b_m} + \frac{a_{k'}}{\prod_{m=1}^{k'} b_m}$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{k'} \frac{a_n}{\prod_{m=1}^n b_m}$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{a_n}{\prod_{m=1}^n b_m}$$

$$= \phi(\{a_n\}).$$

So  $\phi_k(\{a_n\}) \neq \phi(\{a_n\})$ .

## Corollary 2.2

It follows from Lemma 1.3 that

$$\phi(\{a_n\}) \le \phi_k(\{a_n\}) + \frac{1}{\prod_{m=1}^k b_m}$$
 (5)

for every  $\{a_n\} \in \mathcal{A}$  and every  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , where  $\{b_n\} = \beta(\{a_n\})$ .

#### Proof

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

By Lemma 1.3, 
$$\phi_{k'}(\{a_n\}) < \phi_k(\{a_n\}) + \frac{1}{\prod_{m=1}^k b_m}$$
 for every  $k' > k$ .

So it follows from Remark 1.2 that  $\phi_{k'}(\{a_n\}) < \phi_k(\{a_n\}) + \frac{1}{\prod_{m=1}^k b_m}$  for every  $k' \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

So  $\phi_k(\{a_n\}) + \frac{1}{\prod_{m=1}^k b_m}$  is an upper bound for the set of all  $\phi_{k'}(\{a_n\})$  such that  $k' \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

By Monotone Convergence Theorem,  $\phi(\{a_n\})$  is the least upper bound of the set of all  $\phi_{k'}(\{a_n\})$  such that  $k' \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

So 
$$\phi(\{a_n\}) \le \phi_k(\{a_n\}) + \frac{1}{\prod_{m=1}^k b_m}$$
.

## Lemma 3.1.a

It is trivial to show that  $x_{k+1} \in [0,1)$ 

#### Proof

Suppose  $x_{k+1} < 0$ . Then  $b_k x_k - a_k < 0$ So  $x_k < \frac{a_k}{b_k}$ . But by our choice of  $a_k$ ,  $x_k \ge \frac{a_k}{b_k}$ . This is a contradiction. So  $x_{k+1} \ge 0$ . Suppose  $x_{k+1} \ge 1$ . Then  $b_k x_k - a_k \ge 1$ . So  $x_k \ge \frac{a_k+1}{b_k}$ . But  $a_k + 1 > a_k$ , and  $a_k + 1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . So  $a_k \ne \max\{a \in \mathbb{N}_0 | \frac{a}{b_k} \le x_k\}$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore,  $x_{k+1} < 1$ . So  $x_{k+1} \in [0,1)$ .

#### Lemma 3.1.b

$$\phi(\alpha_T(x)) = x$$

#### **Proof**

#### Case k = 1

By definition,  $x_1 = x$ . So by definition,  $x_2 = b_1x - a_1$ . Therefore,  $\frac{x_2}{b_1} = x - \frac{a_1}{b_1}$ .

#### **Inductive Step**

Let 
$$k \in \mathbb{N}$$
.  
Suppose  $\frac{x_{k+1}}{\prod_{m=1}^k b_m} = x - \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{a_n}{\prod_{m=1}^n b_m}$ .  
By definition  $x_{k+2} = b_{k+1} x_{k+1} - a_{k+1}$ .  
So  $x_{k+1} = \frac{x_{k+2} + a_{k+1}}{b_{k+1}}$ .

So 
$$\frac{x_{k+2} + a_{k+1}}{\prod_{m=1}^{k+1} b_m} = x - \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{a_n}{\prod_{m=1}^n b_m}$$
.  
Therefore  $\frac{x_{k+2}}{\prod_{m=1}^{k+1} b_m} = x - \sum_{n=1}^{k+1} \frac{a_n}{\prod_{m=1}^n b_m}$ .

#### Induction

By induction, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\frac{x_{k+1}}{\prod_{m=1}^k b_m} = x - \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{a_n}{\prod_{m=1}^n b_m}$ . In other words,  $\frac{x_{k+1}}{\prod_{m=1}^k b_m} = x - \phi_k(\alpha_T(x))$ . Since  $x_{k+1} \in [0,1)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\frac{0}{\prod_{m=1}^k b_m} \leq \frac{x_{k+1}}{\prod_{m=1}^k b_m} < \frac{1}{\prod_{m=1}^k b_m}.$$

By Axiom 5, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists an n > k such that  $b_n > 1$ .

So  $\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{1}{\prod_{m=1}^k b_m}=0.$ So by Squeeze Theorem,  $\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{x_{k+1}}{\prod_{m=1}^k b_m}=0.$ 

Therefore,  $0 = x - \phi(\alpha_T(x))$ ,

So  $\phi(\alpha_T(x)) = x$ .

#### Lemma 3.2.a

It is trivial to show that  $x_{k+1} \in (0,1]$ .

#### **Proof**

Suppose  $x_{k+1} \leq 0$ .

Then  $b_k x_k - a_k \le 0$ So  $x_k \le \frac{a_k}{b_k}$ . But by our choice of  $a_k$ ,  $x_k > \frac{a_k}{b_k}$ . This is a contradiction.

So  $x_{k+1} > 0$ .

Suppose  $x_{k+1} > 1$ .

Then  $b_k x_k - a_k > 1$ . So  $x_k > \frac{a_k+1}{b_k}$ . But  $a_k + 1 > a_k$ , and  $a_k + 1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . So  $a_k \neq \max \{a \in \mathbb{N}_0 | \frac{a}{b_k} < x_k \}$ . This is a contradiction.

Therefore,  $x_{k+1} \leq 1$ .

So  $x_{k+1} \in (0,1]$ .

### Lemma 3.2.b

$$\phi(\alpha_N(x)) = x$$

### Proof

#### Case k = 1

By definition,  $x_1 = x$ . So by definition,  $x_2 = b_1 x - a_1$ . Therefore,  $\frac{x_2}{b_1} = x - \frac{a_1}{b_1}$ .

#### **Inductive Step**

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{t } k \in \mathbb{N}. \\ \text{Suppose } \frac{x_{k+1}}{\prod_{m=1}^k b_m} = x - \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{a_n}{\prod_{m=1}^n b_m}. \\ \text{By definition } x_{k+2} = b_{k+1} x_{k+1} - a_{k+1}. \\ \text{So } x_{k+1} = \frac{x_{k+2} + a_{k+1}}{b_{k+1}}. \\ \text{So } \frac{x_{k+2} + a_{k+1}}{\prod_{m=1}^{k+1} b_m} = x - \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{a_n}{\prod_{m=1}^n b_m}. \\ \text{Therefore } \frac{x_{k+2}}{\prod_{m=1}^{k+1} b_m} = x - \sum_{n=1}^{k+1} \frac{a_n}{\prod_{m=1}^n b_m}. \end{array}$$

#### Induction

By induction, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\frac{x_{k+1}}{\prod_{m=1}^k b_m} = x - \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{a_n}{\prod_{m=1}^n b_m}$ . In other words,  $\frac{x_{k+1}}{\prod_{m=1}^n b_m} = x - \phi_k(\alpha_N(x))$ . Since  $x_{k+1} \in (0,1]$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\frac{0}{\prod_{m=1}^k b_m} < \frac{x_{k+1}}{\prod_{m=1}^k b_m} \le \frac{1}{\prod_{m=1}^k b_m}.$$

By Axiom 5, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists an n > k such that  $b_n > 1$ . So  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{\prod_{m=1}^k b_m} = 0$ . So by Squeeze Theorem,  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{x_{k+1}}{\prod_{m=1}^k b_m} = 0$ .

Therefore,  $0 = x - \phi(\alpha_N(x))$ ,

So  $\phi(\alpha_N(x)) = x$ .

### Remark 3.3.a

 $\alpha_N(x)$  is always non-terminating.

#### Proof

By the proof of Lemma 3.2.b,  $\frac{x_{k+1}}{\prod_{k=1}^{k} b_m} = x - \phi_k(\alpha_N(x))$ .

And  $x_{k+1} \in (0,1]$ .

So  $x_{k+1} > 0$ , So  $\frac{x_{k+1}}{\prod_{m=1}^{k} b_m} > 0$ So  $x - \phi_k(\alpha_N(x)) > 0$ .

```
Therefore, \phi_k(\alpha_N(x)) \neq x = \phi(\alpha_N(x)).
So by Remark 2.1, \alpha_N(x) is non-terminating.
```

## Remark 3.3.b

 $\alpha_T(x)$  is terminating if and only if there is some terminating  $\{a_n\} \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\phi(\{a_n\}) = x$ .

#### Proof

```
Suppose that there is no terminating \{a_n\} \in \mathcal{A} such that \phi(\{a_n\}) = x.
     If \alpha_T(x) \in \mathcal{A}, then \phi(\alpha_T(x)) = x.
     So \alpha_T(x) cannot be terminating.
     Suppose that there exists some terminating \{a_n\} \in \mathcal{A} such that \phi(\{a_n\}) = x.
     Assume x = 1.
    Then by Corollary 1.4, \phi_k(\{a_n\}) < x for all k \in \mathbb{N}_0.
     So \{a_n\} is non-terminating, and, by contradiction, x \neq 1.
    Therefore, x \in [0, 1).
     So by Lemma 3.1, \alpha_T(x) \in \mathcal{A}.
     Assume \alpha_T(x) \neq \{a_n\}
    Let \{b_n\} = \beta(\{a_n\}).
    Then by Lemma 2.4, there exists some k \in \mathbb{N} such that x - \phi_k(\alpha_T(x)) =
\frac{1}{\prod_{m=1}^k b_m}. But by the proof of Lemma 3.1.b, there exists some x_{k+1} \in [0,1) such that
x - \phi_k(\alpha_T(x)) = \frac{x_{k+1}}{\prod_{m=1}^k b_m}.
So x_{k+1} = 1 \notin [0, 1). (Contradiction.)
     Therefore \alpha_T(x) = \{a_n\}.
    So \alpha_T(x) is terminating.
```

## Corollary 3.4

As a consequence of Theorem 2 and Remark 3.3,  $\{a_n\} \in \mathcal{A}$  if and only if  $\{a_n\} = \alpha_T(x)$  or  $\{a_n\} = \alpha_N(x)$  for some  $x \in [0,1]$ .

#### **Proof**

```
Suppose \{a_n\} = \alpha_T(x) or \{a_n\} = \alpha_N(x) for some x \in [0, 1].
 Then by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, \{a_n\} \in \mathcal{A}.
 Suppose \{a_n\} \in \mathcal{A}.
 Then \phi(\{a_n\}) = x for some x \in [0, 1].
 Suppose x = 1.
 Then by Corollary 1.4, \phi_k(\{a_n\}) < x for all k \in \mathbb{N}_0.
```

So by Remark 2.1,  $\{a_n\}$  is non-terminating.

By Lemma 3.2,  $\alpha_N(x) \in \mathcal{A}$ .

By Remark 3.3,  $\alpha_N(x)$  is non-terminating.

So by Lemma 2.5,  $\{a_n\} = \alpha_N(x)$ .

Suppose x = 0

Then by Remark 1.5,  $\phi_k(\{a_n\}) \geq x$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

And by Monotone Convergence Theorem,  $\phi_k(\{a_n\}) \leq x$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

So  $\phi_k(\{a_n\}) = x$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

So by Remark 3.2,  $\{a_n\}$  is terminating.

By Lemma 3.1,  $\alpha_T(x) \in \mathcal{A}$ .

By Remark 3.3, since  $\{a_n\}$  is terminating,  $\alpha_T(x)$  is terminating.

So by Lemma 2.5,  $\{a_n\} = \alpha_T(x)$ .

Suppose  $x \in (0,1)$ .

Then by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2,  $\alpha_T(x)$ ,  $\alpha_N(x) \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Suppose  $\{a_n\}$  is terminating.

Then by Remark 3.3, since  $\{a_n\}$  is terminating,  $\alpha_T(x)$  is terminating.

So by Lemma 2.5,  $\{a_n\} = \alpha_T(x)$ .

Suppose  $\{a_n\}$  is non-terminating.

By Remark 3.3,  $\alpha_N(x)$  is non-terminating.

So by Lemma 2.5,  $\{a_n\} = \alpha_N(x)$ .